



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,018	12/06/2001	Peter Volz	AP9650	1949	
10291	7590 08/11/2003				
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			EXAMINER		
SUITE 140	WARD AVENUE	BASTIANELLI, JOHN			
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48304-061	0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3754	\$	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	ž ž	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					111				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	V V 1				
		10/009,018		VOLZ, PETER					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		John Bastia	nelli	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IS STATED THIS COMMUNION IS STATED THE PROVISIONS OF STATED THE PROVISION OF THE PRO	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, unication. l) days, a reply within the statutor utory period will apply and will evill, by statute, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONEE	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>06 December 200</u>	<u>01</u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is no	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen		, , , , ,	33 - 25						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:									
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 10/009,018 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 7-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ohshita et al. US 5,577,322.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,018

Art Unit: 3754

Oshita discloses a magnet armature 24, a core member 34, a valve housing with a valve closure member 22 and a valve seat, wherein the valve housing has a first sleeve part 28 which has a retaining collar 30, which in conjunction are seen as a preassembled module which is welded (the term preferably does not give any patentable weight to the term "laser welding" and allows the connection to be any type of connection) to a second sleeve part 14. The valve seat is in an end portion of the second sleeve part and the core is in an end portion of the first sleeve part (Fig. 1). The second sleeve part has a larger wall thickness than the first sleeve part. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production (deepdrawing process). If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the first sleeve part by a deepdrawing process as this is an inexpensive and easy method to make metal parts.

4. Claims 7-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hosoya US 5,984,263.

Hosoya discloses a magnet armature 33, a core member 34, a valve housing with a valve closure member 37 and a valve seat 35, wherein the valve housing has a first sleeve part 32 which has a retaining collar 32a, which in conjunction is seen as a preassembled module which is laser welded to a second sleeve part 31. The valve seat is in an end portion of the second sleeve part and the core is in an end portion of the first sleeve part. The magnet armature is a stepped piston. The second sleeve part has a larger wall thickness than the first sleeve part. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production (deepdrawing process). If the product in

Application/Control Number: 10/009,018 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the first sleeve part by a deepdrawing process as this is an inexpensive and easy method to make metal parts.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohshita et al. US 5,577,322 in view of Czarnetzki et al. US 6,486,761.

Oshita lacks a ring filter. Czarnetzki discloses a ring filter 13. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the stepped portion of the second sleeve port of Oshiti have a ring filter as disclosed by Czarnetzki in order to filter impurities from the operational fluid.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schwarz, Mueller, Megerle, Goosens, and Linhoff disclose magnet armatures and cores with a valve closure member and valve seat and first and second sleeve parts which are connected by welding.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (703) 305-0058. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0975.

John Bastianelli

Examiner

Art Unit 3754

July 28, 2003